

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Family Name</b>  | Lonergan  |
| <b>Given Name</b>   | Gillian   |
| <b>Person ID</b>  | 1287358   |
| <b>Title</b>  | Stakeholder Submission  |
| <b>Type</b>   | Web   |
| <b>Family Name</b>  | Lonergan  |
| <b>Given Name</b>   | Gillian   |
| <b>Person ID</b>  | 1287358   |
| <b>Title</b>  | Our Vision  |
| <b>Type</b>   | Web   |
| <b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>   | NA  |
| <b>Soundness - Justified?</b>   | Unsound   |
| <b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>   | NA  |
| <b>Soundness - Effective?</b>   | Unsound   |
| <b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>  | NA  |
| <b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>   | NA  |
| <b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>                     | The plans aim to increase the number of people living and working in Greater Manchester by removing the green spaces that are important to the quality of life in the area. This is not justified or effective. |
| <b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b> | Building should be taking place on brownfield sites, bringing them back into use rather than on green spaces that have been an important amenity for the people of the area for generations.                    |
| <b>Family Name</b>  | Lonergan  |
| <b>Given Name</b>   | Gillian   |
| <b>Person ID</b>  | 1287358   |
| <b>Title</b>  | JPA 30: Ashton Moss West  |
| <b>Type</b>   | Web   |

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>   | NA  |
| <b>Soundness - Justified?</b>   | Unsound   |
| <b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>   | NA  |
| <b>Soundness - Effective?</b>   | Unsound   |
| <b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>  | NA  |
| <b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>   | NA  |
| <b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>                     | The area has been a highly valued amenity for generations of local residents, giving an easily accessible green space within walking distance of a large number of houses. If this space is built on, residents will need to travel further - most likely by car - for dog walking, family outings with children, bird and other nature watching and general exercise on the well established footpaths. Green spaces are recognised as being important for mental as well as physical wellbeing and the loss of this space along with increase in pollution caused by the extra motor transport that ensues will have a detrimental effect on the health of the local community. |
| <b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b> | Brownfield sites should be brought back into use before any existing green spaces are built on.   |